

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/617,442		07/07/2003	Elwood Ranck Webster	83574	3477		
	23672 7590 04/22/20			EXAM	EXAMINER		
	NEW ADD 1234 ANYW	RESS FOR TESTING		OLSON,	OLSON, LARS A		
	AAAAAA, VA 12345			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3617			

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

400					10			
.e , ,		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Ì			
1			,442	WEBSTER, ELWOOD RANCK				
Office Action Summary		Examir	ner	Art Unit				
		Lars A		3617				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	dress			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ions of time may be available under the provisions of IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) belowed for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse to reply within the set or extended period for reply wiply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tir tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.			
Status								
1) 🗌 🛭	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
)⊠ This action is	s non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)⊠ (6)⊠ (7)□ (· <u> </u>							
Application	on Papers							
10)⊠ T	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objective Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	/are: a)⊠ acception to the drawing(s ne correction is req	e) be held in abeyance. Security series series by series are series. Security series are series series.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				٠			
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docul al Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicati ments have been receive rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage _.			
Attachment(∧ □	(DTO 145)				
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date	0-948) FO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		i-152) <u>.</u>			

Application/Control Number: 10/617,442

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dean (US 5,394,650).

Dean discloses the same torsion spring device as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-3, that is comprised of a first section, defined as Part #63, a second section, defined as Part #60 and 60A, and a third section, defined as Part #63, where said first section is further comprised of an elongated first leg that is configured to exert a force against a surface, defined as Part #67, said first section is fixed to said second section, as shown in Figure 3, said second section is further comprised of a plurality of coils each having an inside diameter configured to fit around an object, defined as Part #49, said plurality of coils are spaced apart and coupled by an intermediate member, defined as Part #62, that is fixed to each of said coils and configured to exert a force against a second surface, defined as Part #38 in Figure 2, said third section is fixed to said second section, as shown in Figure 3, and said third section is further comprised of an elongated second leg that is configured to exert a force against said first surface, as shown in Figure 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,442 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dean.

Dean, as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a spring that is unitary in structure, a spring formed from coils of .09 inch diameter 304 stainless steel wire, spring coils that exert a force of about 40 inch-pounds, and a spring structure with a static angle between said first leg and said intermediate member of about 145 degrees.

The examiner takes official notice that the use of a double torsion spring assembly that is unitary in structure is known in the art.

The use of a spring formed from coils of .09-inch diameter 304 stainless steel would be considered by one of ordinary skill in the art to be a design choice based upon the required strength and desired spring coefficient for said spring.

The use of a spring with coils that exert a force of about 40 inch-pounds would also be considered to be a design choice based upon the required strength of said spring.

The use of a spring structure with a static angle between one leg of said spring and another leg of said spring of about 145 degrees would also be considered to be a

Application/Control Number: 10/617,442 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

design choice based upon the required force that said spring needs to exert in order to function properly.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a double torsion spring assembly that is unitary in structure, is formed from coils of .09 inch diameter 304 stainless steel, exerts a spring force of 40 inch-pounds, and has a static angle between one leg and another leg of about 145 degrees, in combination with the torsion spring device as disclosed by Dean for the purpose of providing a spring device that is stronger and more resistant to corrosion.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8-21 are allowed.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Migli (US 6,477,737), Rytkonen et al. (US 6,185,302) and Golynsky et al. (US 5,683,139) disclose double torsion spring assemblies that are unitary in structure. Devlin (US 5,465,862) discloses double torsion spring hinge assemblies for biasing a hatch lid. Sandow et al. (US 3,461,607) discloses a vent hatch with a brace that is biased by a double torsion spring assembly. Wilson (US 2,812,522) discloses a double torsion spring assembly for biasing a toilet lid. Ducroux

(US 1,897,693 and US 1,823,790) discloses a ship's scuttle. And Laubeuf (US 938,593) discloses a watertight hatch with a spring biasing means.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (703) 308-9807.

lo

April 20, 2004

LARS A. OLSON PATENT EXAMINER

Jars Alsor 4/20/04